

Down Syndrome-Associated Arthritis Compared to Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis: Similarities and Differences in Clinical Presentation and Outcomes

Irene Chern, MD; Jordan Jones, DO

Introduction

Down syndrome (DS) is one of the most common genetic disorders. It is caused by imbalanced genes on chromosome 21, resulting in increased incidence of autoimmune conditions. Individuals with DS have a significantly higher prevalence of inflammatory arthritis, termed Down Syndrome-associated arthritis (DA), compared to the general population. Additionally, there is a significant delay in diagnosis of DA compared to juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), the most common pediatric rheumatic disease. Additional research is needed to improve the care of individuals with DA and to better elucidate the similarities and differences between DA and JIA. This study compares the clinical presentation and outcomes between DA and JIA in the Pediatric Rheumatology Care & Outcomes Improvement Network (PR-COIN) registry.

Methods

A retrospective case-control study evaluated patients with DA matched to patients with JIA. Patients were matched on age, gender, arthritis subtype, and medication exposure. Clinical juvenile arthritis disease activity scores (cJADAS) as well as physician and patient global assessments, were compared between DA and JIA groups.

Results

Twenty patients with DA and 100 with JIA were identified. The mean days between first and last visits were 1157 for patients with JIA and 1664 for DA. Those with DA had more comorbid autoimmune conditions, but less uveitis compared to the JIA group. At the last visit those with DA had lower cJADAS scores compared to the JIA group. Compared to the JIA group, the DA group had an average pain score that improved over time whereas the JIA group had a pain score that increased over time. The medication distribution and exposure were the same between groups.

Conclusions

While a delay in diagnosis of DA is not uncommon, this study suggests that, with appropriate treatment patients, with DA have similar clinical outcomes compared to those with JIA. This study also shows that those with DA report less pain compared to those with JIA and reported pain tracked with active disease for those with DA, but not JIA. These findings illustrate the importance of early disease recognition and treatment for those with DA to minimize morbidity and promote equity.

Clinical Presentation and Outcomes Comparison Between DA and JIA Controls						
	First Visit		Second Visit		Most Recent Visit	
	JIA (n = 100)	DA (n= 20)	JIA (n = 100)	DA (n= 20)	JIA (n = 100)	DA (n= 20)
Arthritis Subtype						
Enthesitis Related	5 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)	5 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)	4 (4.0%)	1 (5.0%)
Oligoarticular	20 (20%)	4 (20%)	19 (19%)	5 (25%)	20 (20%)	3 (15%)
Polyarticular	70 (70%)	14 (70%)	70 (70%)	13 (65%)	63 (63%)	12 (60%)
Systemic	5 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)	5 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.0%)
Undifferentiated	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (5.0%)	1 (5.0%)
Comorbidity						
Celiac disease	0	1 (5%)	0	1 (5%)	0	2 (10%)
Heart disease	0	0	0	0	0	1 (5%)
Thyroid disorder	0	2 (10%)	0	1 (5%)	0	1 (5%)
Liver disease	0	1 (5%)	0	0	0	0
Uveitis active	4 (4%)	0	1 (1%)	0	3 (3%)	0
Clinical Outcomes						
Pain Rating ¹	2.0(2.3)	2.1(2.9)	2.2(2.3)	1.6(2.6)	2.8(2.6)	1.2(1.8)
Number of Joints Affected ²	1.6(2.8)	2.0(3.3)	1.2(2.4)	1.2(1.8)	0.9(1.9)	0.3(0.6)
Physician Global Assessment ³	1.3(1.6)	1.2(1.8)	1.0(1.5)	0.9(1.5)	0.9(1.3)	0.4(0.9)
Patient Global Assessment ⁴	2.3(2.2)	2.3(2.5)	2.2(2.3)	2.2(3.2)	2.1(2.1)	1.1(2.1)
cJADAS Score ⁵	5.1(5.2)	5.4(6.9)	4.5(4.9)	4.3(5.5)	3.9(4.2)	1.8(2.5)
¹ Pain Rating (Mean (SD))- patient reported arthritis related pain over past week 0-10, higher is worse. ² Number of Joints Affected (Mean (SD))- physician count of number of affected joints. ³ Physician Global Assessment (Mean (SD))- physician global assessment of arthritis activity 0-10, higher is worse. ⁴ Patient Global Assessment (Mean (SD))- patient global assessment of overall health 0-10, higher is worse ⁵ cJADAS Score (Mean (SD))- composite measure of active joint count, physician global assessment, and patient global assessment, higher is worse.						